Moana Hills Traffic Calming Trial â€“ Resident Survey

Title/Question: Untitled
Tool Type: Form
Activity ID: 333
Report Date Rang 26 Aug 2025 - 8 Oct 2025
Date Exported: 15/10/2025 12:11

S.N.	Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Where do you live?	Have you observed slower speeds since the traffic calming measures were installed (tick one)?	Have you observed reduced traffic volumes since the traffic calming measures were installed? (tick one)	Do you feel safer travelling in a vehicle along the routes, since the traffic calming measures were installed? (tick one)	Do you feel safer walking along the routes, since the traffic calming measures were installed? (tick one)	Do you feel safer cycling along the routes, since the traffic calming measures were installed? (tick one)	Would you like to see the traffic calming measures remain on a permanent basis? (tick one)	Do you have any additional comments or feedback
1		Sept 26, 2025	Other: Istana Place	Somewhat slower	No change	Somewhat safer	Not applicable	Not applicable	For	
2		Nov 24, 2025, 14:02 PM	Bisley Ave	Significantly slower	Significantly reduced	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Strongly for	Paper Form - It would be great if you could reduce the size of the bus back to the original size, electric would also be great but these big buses are dangerous to pedestrians, cyclists and driversaround the bends they don't fit these residential streets better suited for the main arterials.
3	18703	Oct 08, 2025, 11:10 AM	Other: Crown Terrace	Somewhat slower	No change	No change	Not applicable	Somewhat safer	Against	
4	18693	Oct 05, 2025, 09:11 AM	Bisley Ave	Somewhat slower	No change	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	We have witnessed so many near misses with people in cars going out to the other side of the road to avoid the humps. Bad for tradies etc with tools and far too many humps - 8 i have to go over to get to town. We find it more dangerous with parking allowed all the way down the hill and cars and buses trying to get around/pass
5	18692	Oct 04, 2025, 05:20 PM	Other: Moncrieff Ave	Somewhat slower	No change	Somewhat safer	No change	Not applicable	For	The speed bumps are not perfect, they are a bit roughly built, but they do slow cars down at least. Cars do fly over them and as a pedestrian, cars avoiding the bumps come close to the kerb. But overall I support the bumps.
6	18690	Sep 29, 2025, 05:43 PM	Bisley Ave	Somewhat slower	No change	Somewhat safer	Somewhat safer	Somewhat safer	For	As most cars straddle the speed bumps they are effectively going at the same speed if not faster up some parts of Bisley / Moana Ave. Also on areas where the speed bump has been made very close to the pedestrian footpath (Moana Ave up to Princes Drive roundabout) the cars and buses are now very close as you are walking.
7	18688	Sep 26, 2025, 12:59 PM	Other: Dunair Way	No change	No change	No change	Not applicable	Not applicable	Strongly against	
8	18687	Sep 24, 2025, 03:44 PM	Other: Dunair Way	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	Wear & tear on the cars is terrible. The emissions are greater from people speeding up then suddenly slowing down before the bump. Speed bumps are different widths so affect the car differently. I hate cycling down & up the road now. Buses will NEVER use this route as speed bumps not the type to allow this, . They were totally unnecessary & remain so
9	18686	Sep 23, 2025, 05:12 PM	Other: Grenville Terrace	Somewhat slower	No change	No change	Somewhat less safe	Not applicable	Strongly against	Paper Form - emailed in Cars avoiding the speed bumps veer towards the footpath threatening walkers. I suggest an alternative is to simply add Moana / Bisley to 40kph zone
10	18685	Sep 23, 2025, 05:07 PM	Bisley Ave						Strongly against	Paper Form
11	18684	Sep 23, 2025, 04:58 PM	Princes Drive	Significantly higher	Significantly higher	No change	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	Paper Form Q2: Somewhat higher - Significantly higher Q8: Better using speed cameras on Princes Drive and reduce number of speed humps. Coming up, cars and now speed up a lot after the last one (No,272). It makes it for more dangerous at the junction with Observatory Terrace, as this lane is used by vehicles to turn back towards the city (especially trucks). A speed bump after No329 would force speed down.
12	18683	Sep 23, 2025, 04:53 PM	Princes Drive	No change	Somewhat reduced	No change	No change	No change	Strongly against	Paper Form The speeding was mainly contractors building houses but this has stopped since building has stopped. Traffic has reduced since Clarence Drive has opened. The bumps are a traffic hazzard in themselves and just an easy policing method that impacts everyone, like Johnny's been naughty lets cane the whole class.
13	18682	Sep 23, 2025, 03:11 PM	Moana Ave	Significantly slower	Significantly reduced	Significantly safer	Somewhat safer	Significantly safer	For	Paper Form Prior to the speed cushions, getting out of our drive was a nightmare, particularly during rush hour. It was dangerous with serious potential for a nasty crash with many close shaves including with cyclists (doing 50km downhill). A blind bend towards the Moana roundabout and upwards to the Princes Drive roundabout, the speed cushions have enabled us much safer entry onto the road. Neighbours, however are experiencing distress with the shaking of their houses. As an aside to this feedback, the mirrors installed for the buses have also made coming up Bisley and junction of Princes Drive with Richardson much safer. Mirrors would help to if you end up removing the cushions, by the wall on Moana. Thank you
14	18681	Sep 23, 2025, 02:52 PM	Moana Ave	Significantly slower	No change	Somewhat safer	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Strongly for	Paper Form
15	18680	Sep 23, 2025, 11:53 AM					No change	Not applicable	Against	Paper Form
16	18679	Sep 23, 2025, 11:52 AM					No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	Paper Form
17	18678	Sep 23, 2025, 11:48 AM	Other: Champion Terrace	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Alternative layout	Paper Form Q4 No change: Whilst traffic slows to go over humps there are always cars that swerve to lessen effect of humps by crossing centre line or swerving closer to the pavement especially on Moana with the '3' hump layout - not safer for pedestrians or drivers! Q5 No change: See previous point. Cars still go fast around Bisley Bends. Q6 Significantly less safe: Unpredictability of cars swerving to reduce impact of bumps. Q7: There are an excessive number of humps and 3 across road is just ridiculous. Reduce frequency of humps. Cycling at night down Moana Avenue + Bisley Ave the street lighting makes some of the humps unmarked + un-lit, very dangerous...catches me out - thank goodness no cars involved!

18	18677	Sep 23, 2025, 11:40 AM	Moana Ave	Somewhat slower	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Not applicable	Strongly against	Paper Form Q3 - Significantly higher when Rocks Road is closed Q8: The noise levels have increased significantly due to tradesmen vans and trailers carrying loose articles. The three 'humps' are + when walking the cars swerve towards the footpath, therefore closer to pedestrians. When Rocks Rd is closed (slips), Moana is the main road used. Huge trucks & trailers use this route too. The Council has deemed our area as a 'slump zone' & do not allow any extra weight added to out properties and yet these large heavy vehicles are re-routed up Moana and Bisley Ave. These cushions are very ineffective and we look forward to them being removed asap!
19	18676	Sep 22, 2025, 04:29 PM	Princes Drive	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Not applicable	No change	Strongly against	Paper Form Prior to the speed humps being installed we didn't think the speeds up here were excessive anyway. A significant negative is the addition of the big blue buses that use the area. They are far too big for the road and too long for the sharp corners up here. The buses significantly intrude over the centre line when using these corners.
20	18675	Sep 22, 2025, 04:00 PM	Moana Ave	Significantly slower	Somewhat reduced	Somewhat safer	Somewhat safer	Not applicable	For	Paper Form As it is a critical road link, Moana and Bisley, particularly when Rocks Road is closed, then its been great that the road repairs have been done quickly, re. water etc., thank you for that.
21	18674	Sep 22, 2025, 03:57 PM	Bisley Ave	Significantly slower	Significantly reduced	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Strongly for	Paper Form These roads used by walkers, cyclist and all age groups to the beach or as a walking route. Significantly safer 'boy racers' were a real issue and much noisier than the occasional trailer. No vibrations felt in our house adjacent to the road.
22	18673	Sep 22, 2025, 03:53 PM	Moana Ave	Significantly slower	Somewhat reduced	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Strongly for	Paper Form
23	18672	Sep 22, 2025, 03:51 PM	Other: Heemskerck Place	No change	No change	No change	Not applicable	Not applicable	Against	Paper Form
24	18671	Sep 22, 2025, 03:50 PM	Bisley Ave	Significantly slower	Somewhat reduced	Somewhat safer	No change	Not applicable	Strongly for	Paper Form
25	18670	Sep 22, 2025, 03:47 PM	Other: Istana Place	Somewhat slower	No change	No change	No change	Somewhat less safe	Against	Paper Form
26	18669	Sep 22, 2025, 03:38 PM	Moana Ave	Somewhat slower	No change	Somewhat less safe	Not applicable	Not applicable	Strongly against	Paper Form Q2 Somewhat slower: Speeds have reduced when slowing for the bumps, otherwise traffic moves as usual, therefore result is jerky. Q3 No change: Traffic volumes rasied because of Rocks Road frequent closures. Q4 Somewhat less safe: I notice alot of dodging the bumps which can be alarming Q8: My main objection is the sudden sharp noise caused by mainly trailers leaving the road and their contents clattering back down. It sounds as though someone is coming up the drive, or possibly an earthquake, or maybe a collision. In any case it is disturbing the 'quiet enjoyment of my property', especially when I'm having lunch on my deck with or without company. This happens many, many times a day and is quite exhausting.
27	18668	Sep 22, 2025, 03:30 PM	Moana Ave	Somewhat slower	No change	Somewhat safer	No change	Significantly less safe	Against	Paper Form I hate the fact that 2 x 17 tonne buses pass by my house every half hour, everyday. The whole house vibrates every time, slowly making it more unstable. When biking, cars veer more to the left to avoid impact of the humps... very dangerous for bikers. I would suggest putting in strips of rough gradient tar or cement, that would alert drivers to slow down on Bisley and Moana Ave's. Also smaller buses on this route to avoid shaking already vulnerable land especially since there is always 0-3 people on board. Really cannot understand the justification of such huge buses in little fragile Nelson!
28	18667	Sep 22, 2025, 03:10 PM							Against	Paper Form *Interpreted as against Please remove all humps and bumps and change those dangerous huge buses for something more sensible
29	18666	Sep 22, 2025, 02:42 PM	Other: Moncrieff Ave	Somewhat slower	No change	No change	No change	No change	Strongly against	Paper Form My opinion is that there was never a serious speeding issue on Moana / Bisley Avenue from my own observations. Occasionally sub 25-year old drivers would be observed speeding. Normal policing measures should have been utilised to control this problem. Instead, a very dubious decision was made by the Council to punish residents in the area that have to use Moana / Bisley Avenue several times a day, but installing extremely inappropriate speed humps on these roads resulting in anything but calming driving experiences. The speed hump design used by the council is unsuitable & unsafe for small vehicles to traverse the humps comfortably and at a speed which is unlikely to damage vehicles, the vehicle has to be driven slower than 15kph. This results in ones vehicle having to slow down to a ridiculous speed, then having to accelerate again once the humps have been traversed. This is very onerous for residents that need to use Moana / Bisley Avenues obviously and also increases fuel use considerably. The decision to subject the regular drivers using Moana / Bisley Avenues can only be described as one of incompetence!

30	18665	Sep 22, 2025, 02:30 PM	Moana Ave	No change	No change	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat less safe	No change	Strongly against	Paper Form Our concerns are they are causing: 1. Vibrations to our properties & causing damage 2. The noise, especially with buses, trucks, utes, trailers 3. Causing most vehicles to make more emissions with all the accelerating then slowing down 4. A lot more wear and tear on all vehicles using this road. 5. Some vehicles still don't slow down. 6. Cars, motorbikes, light vehicles driving through speed cushions in the middle of the road between the cushions. A motorbike nearly hit me last week when he did this.
31	18664	Sep 22, 2025, 01:44 PM	Other: Moncrieff Ave	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change	Strongly against	Paper Form
32	18663	Sep 22, 2025, 01:40 PM	Princes Drive	No change	No change	No change	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat less safe	Strongly against	Paper Form Boy racers and motorcyclists use them as a slalom down Bisley Ave, and take pride in hitting the ones on Princes Drive at high speed. Someone will be hurt. Why not some stationary speed cameras? Hurt them in their wallets will eventually reduce speeds. Also could make these roads 40kph which would help even more.
33	18662	Sep 22, 2025, 01:34 PM	Princes Drive	Somewhat slower	No change	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	Paper Form
34	18661	Sep 22, 2025, 01:33 PM	Moana Ave	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Somewhat less safe	Not applicable	Strongly against	Paper Form Small cars speed when coming up Moana as quite a few drive up the left side to avoid the bumps (more narrow bumps that go across the road would help) It can feel unsafe passing a bus on a corner, speed cameras and a speed restriction signs would be best. [redacted] can be dangerous trying to turn up or down Moana view is restricted both ways + speeding traffic.
35	18660	Sep 22, 2025, 01:28 PM	Other: Commodore Place	No change	Somewhat reduced	No change	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	Paper Form Q2 14 speed humps to TĀhunanui Road Q7 Variation in heights troublesome At least are invisible at certain times of the day An island is a better device Present installations may be unlawful Affects climate change as acceleration to next bump occurs
36	18659	Sep 22, 2025, 01:23 PM	Princes Drive	Somewhat slower	No change	No change	Somewhat safer	Not applicable	Strongly for	Paper Form The buses are destroying the roads, why not use medium or smaller size buses. Thank you
37	18658	Sep 22, 2025, 01:20 PM	Princes Drive	Significantly slower	Significantly reduced	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Strongly for	Paper Form
38	18657	Sep 20, 2025, 05:28 PM	Princes Drive	Significantly slower		Somewhat safer	Significantly safer	Not applicable	For	They're a necessary evil - it's incredibly annoying to jolt over them in the car every day but less annoying than people zooming around the corners at unsafe speeds. When they need upgrading can they please be replaced with a style that creates a less sharp jolt for drivers? Not everyone has great suspension and it's uncomfortable. I frequently see drivers swerving out of lane/into the cycling space to try to go around the bumps for this reason, which isn't great for safety. Thanks
39	18656	Sep 19, 2025, 06:17 PM	Bisley Ave	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced	Somewhat less safe	Not applicable	Not applicable	Neutral	I travel daily to work over Bisley Avenue and have observed a lot of cars driving over the white lines to avoid the speed cushions, this is very dangerous on a couple of blind corners, perhaps some Police presence/observation could deter this happening. Speedsters still race between the speed cushions and this road still requires Police presence during weekends.
40	18655	Sep 19, 2025, 10:57 AM	Other: Moncrieff Ave	Significantly slower	No change	Significantly less safe	Not applicable	Not applicable	Strongly against	Paper Form Q2: Significantly slower, due to a few drivers not taking the bumps at a reasonable speed, i.e. 15km. Q3: No change - when state highway 6 is closed, traffic volumes increase 10 fold traffic chaos measures prevail. Q4: Significantly less safe - due to traffic weaving between 'cushions' Q5: NA - what have pedestrians walking on the footpath got to do with bumps on the road? Q6: NA - like the buses, very, very few people use them or ride bikes on the hill Q8: -You talk of the 'Nelson Future Access Study'. How many people were contacted to take part in the study? We at 37 years (on Moncrieff Ave) were not. - You also talk of the 'eBus straddling the cushion and have less impact on passengers (none) and vehicles' what about the thousands of cars that have to straddle the cushions (speed humps) every day and have to wear and tear on their cars? (ongoing) - Your first question re speed: the frustration of following a car that has dropped down to 15km and having a line of traffic behind them, leads to dangerous driving, not safer as you imply. - I have observed that regular users of the road, weave between the cushions so as to minimise the disruption on themselves and their cars. This also leads one day to dangerous driving, not safer as you imply. -Traffic volumes have stayed the same. When Rocks Road (SH6) is closed (quite regular) then the traffic volumes increased 10 fold. Added to this is your 'traffic calming measures' and chaos could be used instead of 'calming'
41	18654	Sep 19, 2025, 09:52 AM	Princes Drive	No change	No change	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat less safe	Against	The speed cushions on Moana and Bisley are not too bad, you can still drive over them safely without causing too much damage to your car. The speed humps on Princes Drive are crazy outside # 246, 280 and 312. They are far too high and causes to much damage to our cars. Also, cars are swerving across the road on an angle to lessen the bump on their car, which is quite scary when you are walking or biking, it's quite dangerous and also for other cars coming the other way. If they are to stay on Princes Drive, then they need to be lowered to the speed cushions they have on Bisley.
42	18652	Sep 17, 2025, 11:34 AM	Other: Tosswill Road	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced	Somewhat safer	Somewhat safer	Somewhat safer	Strongly for	I would like to see them remain in place but also enhanced. There has been a rise in ownership of higher clearance vehicles e.g. utes who still travel of the bumps at speed and therefore further measures are requires along side the existing ones.
43	18651	Sep 16, 2025, 05:30 PM	Other: Moncrieff Ave	Significantly slower	Significantly reduced	Somewhat safer	Somewhat safer	Somewhat safer	For	Unfortunately the calming measures have not slowed the fast, noisy motorbikes racing between the speed cushions.

44	18650	Sep 16, 2025, 05:27 PM	Other: Heemskerck Place	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced	No change	No change	No change	Alternative layout	Paper Form Q3 : Somewhat reduced - new road out to Waimea Rd has reduced traffic on Princes Drive
45	18649	Sep 16, 2025, 05:19 PM	Princes Drive	No change	Somewhat reduced	Somewhat less safe	No change	Somewhat less safe	Alternative layout	Paper form It is a pity NCC as responding to the small minority who instigated this measure. In reality the problem of speeding traffic is minor and the install of speed bumps affects a lot of people and created a problem not asked for my many and not required. Speeding can be monitored and more effective mitigation can be used i.e. cameras etc. Q7: Strongly against / alternative layout
46	18648	Sep 16, 2025, 05:07 PM	Other: Bisley Ave & Champion Terr	Significantly slower	Significantly reduced	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Strongly for	Paper Form , our position is like an amphitheater and the speeding, dangerous drivers drove us crazy - the past 2 years have been significantly quieter - some vehicles still create noise as they cross the bumps but this is thankfully minimal and limited to daylight hours - the racing went on through the night and frequently disturbed our sleep. The expense of putting traffic calming strips in must have been significant - surely the expenditure was considered more than just a 2 year trial.
47	18647	Sep 16, 2025, 04:57 PM	Other: Commodore Place	No change	No change	No change	Somewhat less safe	Not applicable	Strongly against	Paper Form - Consultation should have happened before installation - There has never been any traffic deaths on the roads mentioned - Fumes are more of a problem as there is no constant vehicle speed -All in all this project is a waste of ratepayers money and is not considered necessary
48	18646	Sep 16, 2025, 04:51 PM	Other: Champion Terrace	Significantly slower	Significantly reduced	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Strongly for	Paper Form The calming strips have greatly improve our quality of life on the hill except for the people who drive on the wrong side of the road around blind corners to try and avoid them. Prior to the bumps there were frequent times when we held our breath hoping racers would make it around the corners. I had to attend 2 accidents the year before the bumps went in. It is now much quieter with no racers at all hours of day and night. Please don't take them out! I wonder if extending them fully across the road would stop the people who try to avoid them. That is the only negative. We have been forced to take evasive action several times - but at least the speed is less - so more time to react.
49	18645	Sep 16, 2025, 03:30 PM	Moana Ave	Significantly higher	Significantly higher	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Not applicable	Strongly against	Paper Form Q3: Significantly higher - but this is due to more frequent Rocks Rd closures than speed bump installation, but now also to increase further when Waimea Rd is closed for 'months' due to major earthworks scheduled to start January 2026. Q4: Significantly less safe - due mainly to traffic swerving to avoid or straddle speed bumps. We are never sure whether a vehicle is doing that on purpose or is out of control. it happens alot. Q5: Significantly less safe - due mainly to traffic behaviour as described in last question Q8: This survey should be counted twice as it represents the firm views of myself and my wife. and the noise from utes, trucks and vehicles with trailers is relentless. Every few minutes, a commercial or private vehicle goes (too fast) over those speed humps, one set about 20m south of us, and the other set about 40m north of us. Each time, there is a loud crashing sound, as either their metal trailer leaves the ground (launched by the speed bumps) or the contents of the trailer or truck tray (usually metal tools or pipes etc) rises off the deck and crashes back down again. NCC is 'committed' to creating or maintaining an environment whereby rate payers can enjoy a quiet residence in their street. We don't.
50	18644	Sep 16, 2025, 02:48 PM	Bisley Ave	Somewhat slower	No change	No change	No change	No change	Strongly against	Paper FormThe continual vibrations will not help the slip area.
51	18643	Sep 16, 2025, 02:45 PM	Bisley Ave	Somewhat slower	No change	No change	No change	Somewhat safer	Alternative layout	Paper Form Q8 Strongly Against / Alternative Layout- speed cameras I live in Bisley Ave and noise levels are now much higher due to the loud bump whenever a truck or car and trailer cross the bump. Before there were sporadic 'boy racer' incidents. Now a regular bump and crash followed by re-acceleration. Why not remove bumps and install speed cameras - say 40kph limit - and make some money for council.
52	18642	Sep 16, 2025, 02:38 PM	Moana Ave	No change	No change	Significantly less safe		No change	Strongly against	Paper Form Traffic noise levels are increased significantly. Some traffic accelerates between the bumps, then brakes; some traffic just speeds over the bumps like they are not there - then you hear suspension thumps, rattles etc. Trucks, vans, commercial vehicles (with trailers especially) clang and bang disturbing the peace more than ever. our street has been ruined by these bumps! I haven't mentioned the ridiculously oversize buses which are the worst offenders of all.
53	18641	Sep 16, 2025, 02:31 PM	Other: Windsor Drive	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced	No change	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	Paper Form I think there are too many humps on Moana Avenue + Bisley. We now use Richardson St to avoid the discomfort. The great concern we have regarding travel in Nelson is the increase in drivers running red lights + tailgating.
54	18640	Sep 16, 2025, 02:28 PM	Other: Commodore Place	No change	No change	No change	Not applicable	Not applicable	Strongly against	Paper Form
55	18639	Sep 16, 2025, 02:25 PM	Other: Grenville Terrace	No change	Somewhat higher	Significantly less safe	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	Paper FormBuses, trucks trailers are very noisy as they go over bumps.
56	18638	Sep 16, 2025, 02:14 PM	Moana Ave	Significantly slower	Significantly reduced	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Not applicable	Strongly for	The traffic calming measures have made exiting and entering our property significantly safer. There is definitely less traffic using our street meaning less traffic noise and speed. Cars and motorbikes no longer speed around corners. Speed was definitely a factor before the traffic calming measures were put in place. I am aware that the size of the e buses servicing Moana, Bisley have caused some shaking of houses between Bisley and Stansell Ave round about. A smaller bus on this route would go a long way to solving this problem considering the small number of people travelling on this route at any one time.

57	18637	Sep 14, 2025, 06:21 PM	Moana Ave	Significantly slower	Somewhat reduced	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Somewhat less safe	Strongly for	Fully support, but the small size (presumably for benefit of buses) makes it VERY dangerous for cyclists and others with vehicles weaving around the short bumps. Seen near misses and experienced danger myself. Swerving is common and not restricted to young hoons. A further issue I fully support bus routes but the current buses are also VERY dangerous, particularly now with the bike racks back on. At the T intersection on Princes I have several times seen cars coming against the buses having to stop suddenly or reverse with a bus well over the line. Injury or death is just a matter of time. Smaller buses required on that route.
58	18636	Sep 14, 2025, 10:43 AM	Other: Crown Tce	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	
59	18632	Sep 12, 2025, 04:49 PM	Bisley Ave	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced	No change	No change	No change	Strongly against	Please find an alternative solution if you have to. The speed cushions have the steepest sides I've seen anywhere in Nelson. Front suspension suffers from repetitive shock on approach and the rear dumps down on departure, and this is only at around 15kph. Aggravates my lower back and hip pain too. Accelerating and braking between cushions creates extra pollution, noise and unnecessary wear and tear. The crashing noise from loose metal objects bouncing in trailers and on trucks is constant source of annoyance
60	18631	Sep 12, 2025, 03:17 PM	Princes Drive	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change	Alternative layout	Princess Drive is a designated Sub-Collector Road. The NTLDM States: '4.5.2.3 Speed humps and other vertical speed control devices cannot be used on Sub Collector, Collectors, Principal or Arterial roads nor state Highways.' The works carried out are therefore in direct conflict with your own manual. It should be noted that the works undertaken by council in removing 3m of perfectly good road adjacent #210-214 Princess Drive, without any design or plan, straightened the carriageway and contributed to the increase in traffic speed and created a very unsafe road with a 0.80m wide traffic lane. The first priority on this road is to address the safety concerns council has created and remain liable for.
61	18627	Sep 11, 2025, 08:54 PM	Princes Drive	Somewhat slower	No change	Somewhat less safe	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	Walking alongside Princes drive is now quite unpleasant due to a marked increase in emissions from the cars as they accelerate after going over the speed humps. Many people use this walk daily for their exercise so this is an added downside to the addition of speed humps. I am definitely opposed and very disappointed that these speed humps were installed with so little consultation with all the people who live in the effected areas. We were informed that 4 speeds humps were going to be installed, and were absolutely aghast to discover that we now have to negotiate 13 of them Ratepayer
62	18624	Sep 11, 2025, 10:37 AM	Moana Ave	Somewhat slower	No change	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Not applicable	Strongly against	These traffic calming measures have been ill-conceived and a knee jerk reaction to a small number of complaints. The cushions achieve very little as the rat runners etc use them as a slalom run with no reduction in speed. They create a lot of noise and vibration to the people living close to them with every truck or bus or trailer that passes over them. Many motorists choose to swerve around them endangering on-coming traffic or alternatively pedestrians walking on the footpath. They need to be removed. The speed limit could be reduced to 40km and then be strictly enforced.
63	18620	Sep 10, 2025, 11:29 AM	Other: Heemskerck Place	Somewhat slower	No change	Somewhat less safe	No change	No change	Strongly against	These speed humps are causing extra wear and tear on residents cars who have no choice but to use these roads. Cars, cyclists and motorcycles are driving over the middle of the road and onto the other side to avoid cushions on Moana/Bisley Ave making this road more dangerous. Previous to these being installed as residents we never felt there was an issue and had not seen or heard of accidents. Waste of money, they should be removed. No point trying to reduce traffic on these roads when due to frequent weather events they become one of the options in and out of Nelson due to Rocks Road closures.
64	18612	Sep 08, 2025, 09:46 PM	Other: Zeehaen Place	Significantly slower	Somewhat reduced	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Strongly for	
65	18608	Sep 08, 2025, 05:54 PM	Princes Drive	Somewhat slower	No change	No change	No change	No change	Against	The calming measure on Bisley Ave are OK, they do slow down the traffic without causing damage to your vehicles. The ones on Princess Drive are ridiculous, they are far to high and I have seen vehicles scrap on the humps. Also I have see many times vehicles swerving the humps which is not good for cyclists or pedestrians. If they are to stay on Princes Drive they need to be changed to the calming measure.
66	18605	Sep 08, 2025, 12:12 PM	Other: Heemskerck Place	No change	No change	No change	Not applicable	No change	Strongly against	
67	18496	Sep 05, 2025, 05:02 PM	Other: Commodore Drive, off Princ	No change	Somewhat higher	No change	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	All this has done is made my car suspension worse & now there are noises. I doubt council will pay for my suspension costs the garage (I know no). Put in speed cameras, as this would be more effective.
68	18468	Sep 05, 2025, 03:52 PM	Princes Drive	Somewhat slower	No change	Somewhat less safe	Significantly less safe	Not applicable	Strongly against	it is impossible for me to go over the speed humps without significant discomfort. I go as slow as I can over them but then run the risk of someone hitting me from behind as they get impatient and don't realise I am having to slow down so much. The ones down Moana and Bisley Aves are particularly bad. Chicanes would be far better than speed humps. Speed humps are a very bad idea!
69	18463	Sep 05, 2025, 08:59 AM	Princes Drive	Somewhat slower	No change	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat safer	Strongly against	
70	18460	Sep 04, 2025, 08:15 PM	Moana Ave	No change	Significantly higher	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	People now drive all over the road trying to dodge the speed bumps other people jam their brakes on when they see the speed bumps. Between the speed bumps and the buses it's way more unsafe than what it was
71	18459	Sep 04, 2025, 03:18 PM	Bisley Ave	Significantly slower	Significantly reduced	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Not applicable	Strongly for	Maybe one or two of the speed bumps could be taken out. it's great to have them, but there are so many! Too many I feel.
72	18458	Sep 04, 2025, 09:56 AM	Princes Drive	No change	Somewhat reduced	Significantly less safe	Not applicable	Not applicable	Strongly against	Take suspension damaging humps and increased fuel usage humps out. lower speed limit to 40 kph and put several speed cameras in obvious places. A noticeable reduction in vehicle numbers since Clarence Drive has opened
73	18455	Sep 04, 2025, 08:28 AM	Other: Champion Tce	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced	Somewhat safer	No change	Somewhat safer	Neutral	- reduce numbers - only one to each direct part - make one piece speed hump as in Stansell Ave / Toswill Rd > no more maneuvering between humps to find a smoother way (& protect car shock absorbers)

74	18454	Sep 04, 2025, 08:26 AM	Other: Heemskerck Place	Somewhat slower	No change	Significantly less safe	Somewhat less safe	No change	Strongly against	The speed bumps take the driver's attention off the hazards, as drivers are concentrating more on the tarmac, so as not to miss the bump. That means there is less attention being paid to the pedestrians, children, dogs and cyclists, and puts them at more risk of harm. Large SUVs and Utes do not slow down for the speed bumps - they just treat them like off roading. Drivers and cyclists swerve around the small Moana Road speed bumps, causing a hazard which wasn't an issue previously. It puts more drivers at risk of a collision between cars. It also puts cyclists at risk, because they swerve into the road without warning and without slowing down to go around the small speed bump. Moana Road doesn't need speed bumps - it has so many hairpin corners, that it's not really possible to speed along it. Bring back the digital signs, which displayed your speed - I find those the most effective way to control speed without taking driver's attention away from the road.
75	18452	Sep 03, 2025, 08:17 PM	Princes Drive	Somewhat slower	No change	Somewhat less safe	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	Have on two occasions been overtaken in a dangerous manner by a following driver, while going over a speed cushion. My work vehicle carries sensitive equipment and even though I go over the speed cushions at 20km, concerning jarring occurs.
76	18447	Sep 03, 2025, 05:09 PM	Princes Drive	Somewhat slower	No change	Significantly less safe	Somewhat less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	These should be removed on MOANA AND BISLEY AVE damage to vehicles and the amount of people nearly having accidents when they are trying to avoid the cushions' with oncoming traffic is ridiculous! I was travelling more than twice a day to work down this route and am over them thankfully now clarence drive is open I can avoid these at least once a day [you should consider using the same slowing measures as clarence drive will stop people speeding] Also residents park on side of road so makes it very hazardous trying to negotiate the humps even more so with recent rocks road closures.
77	18446	Sep 03, 2025, 04:59 PM	Other: Windsor Drive	No change	Somewhat reduced	Significantly less safe	Not applicable	Not applicable	Strongly against	Traffic reduced as drivers fedup and using safer and easier Clarence Drive. Dangerous at night as car lights blind driver as cars go up and over bumps. Drivers going onto wrong side of road to avoid bumps. Using way more fuel as brake and accelerate going up and over.
78	18445	Sep 03, 2025, 04:01 PM	Bisley Ave	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced	No change	No change	No change	Strongly against	My main objection is the increased noise from trucks and trailers as they crash over the bumps. Some buses don't slow at all and make a hell of a thump that shakes my house. The goes on 7 days a week where as we used to have a small number of loud cars on Friday and Saturday nights. Alternative such as lower speed limit with enforcement through speed cameras/LTSA would be preferable
79	18441	Sep 03, 2025, 10:00 AM	Moana Ave	Significantly slower	Somewhat reduced	No change	Somewhat safer	Somewhat safer	Alternative layout	One of the benefits is that we are no longer disturbed by boy racers. However, there is also an undue amount of noise from some vehicles crossing calmers, particularly those with trailers. It would seem that the split design doesn't work for buses when cars are parked, particularly on upper Bisley. It is annoying in a car trying to set the best approach as calmers are all different shapes. Why not have the structure right across the road as on Stansell and Toswell where they seem to work well.
80	18424	Sep 02, 2025, 06:24 PM	Princes Drive	No change	No change	No change	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	Why should the majority of good people be penalised for the actions of a few? The bumps are extremely annoying, and after 2 years they're also poorly marked with some signs hidden by trees. A speed camera would be a better use of funds, thereby punishing those who are breaking the law, and not penalising the majority of law-abiding citizens.
81	18423	Sep 02, 2025, 05:38 PM	Princes Drive	Somewhat slower	No change	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Neutral	Only yesterday I was cycling up Moana Ave when I was passed by a Blue Bus travelling in the same direction. As there was a car approaching from the opposite direction the bus passed within about 500mm of me. I couldn't move any further towards the kerb as I was already in the channel.
82	18420	Sep 02, 2025, 05:00 PM	Bisley Ave	Somewhat slower	No change	No change	No change	Not applicable	Against	It's a joke to have to crawl along these roads to get to/from town, with way too many speed humps. Worst of all is the big hulking bus travelling on roads not made for it. Get real and run a bus size applicable to the users and the width of the roads. This council is slaying me with poor decisions.
83	18418	Sep 02, 2025, 04:50 PM	Bisley Ave	Somewhat slower	No change	Somewhat less safe	No change	Somewhat less safe	Alternative layout	Speed reduction measures are a worthy initiative, however the type is inappropriate for our community. The current layout allows drivers to cut through the middle or swerve onto the side of the road/roadside parking areas to avoid the impact of the speed reduction cushions. This has made things far more dangerous. Furthermore, the current design of the cushions mean that vehicles hit them abruptly - even if drivers slow for them. For those living on the hill and driving this route multiple times a day/week, this has adverse impacts on vehicles (shock absorption, etc). The current design also means that larger vehicles, such as the buses create ground vibration/movement for a number of properties. This has created conservable concern amongst many in the local community - all in an areas which is considered a slip zone and for which Council has significantly reduced Rateable Valuations for households due to land instability. In addition, while a wonderful service which I wholeheartedly support, a considerably too large for operating on the hill - and have made things considerably more dangerous for all other road users. All users are vulnerable but cyclists and motor-cyclists particularly so. Noting these concerns, I am supportive of speed reduction in this area but would strongly encourage Council to remove the existing 'cushions' and replace them with the same type as those found along Stansell Avenue - these require all vehicles to slow to the same speed or lower that those on Bisley/Moana Aves but the fact they cover the entire width of the road and are more gradual in entry and exit means they will be safer for all users and less invasive in terms of vibrations for neighbouring residents.
84	18417	Sep 02, 2025, 04:36 PM	Moana Ave	Somewhat slower	No change	No change	No change	Somewhat safer	Alternative layout	The traffic humps should be fully extended across the road as on Stansell/Toswill. Currently they are badly and unevenly built. The fact that the boy racers no longer regard Bisley/Moana as their own private hill-climb is a serious plus.
85	18416	Sep 02, 2025, 03:46 PM	Moana Ave	Significantly slower	Somewhat reduced	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Not applicable	Strongly for	. They have had a huge beneficial impact on driving behaviour. Even when Rocks Road was closed with more traffic rerouted through Bisley, drivers were courteous and considerate. In the past we have had very poor driving behaviour - boy racer type driving over what is effectively a series of hairpin bends. That has stopped. I would advocate strongly for the retention of the work done.

86	18415	Sep 02, 2025, 03:26 PM	Moana Ave	Significantly slower	Significantly reduced	Significantly safer	Not applicable	Not applicable	Alternative layout	My response in this survey may be encouraging because traffic on this hill has indeed slowed and been reduced. But the present solution is worse than the past problem. These "split-speed-bumps" and 17 tonne-busses are a total disaster in every respect. Now, heavy traffic is physically shaking many houses to their foundations, destroying "peaceful enjoyment" whilst heavy tyres scrub the thin road surface of this serpentine road into gravel-filled potholes. Now, in this seismically challenged "Red-Zone" land (NCC's own objectionable designation) we have a cascading shambles. The buses are too long for the serpentine road, too heavy for this location, and worst of all...are ALWAYS almost completely empty! Report? "F " (fail). Solution? Lowered speed limit signs to 40kph, speed-bumps like Stansell Ave's, and self-funding speed camera's that FLASH! when activated (thereby instantly nailing speeders where it hurts! whilst self-funding the very camera that hurt them = behavioural change! = brilliant solution)
87	18414	Sep 02, 2025, 03:19 PM	Other: Zeehaen Place	No change	No change	No change	Not applicable	Not applicable	Strongly against	Does not seem to be that effective as some drivers slow down and, in some cases stop, causing impatience from following drivers, while others tend to totally ignore them, or brake suddenly and them accelerant away (using more fuel and more pollution) Windy road anyway and difficult /dangerous to speed The Speed restraints are a nuisance and are ineffective and bluntly a waste of money and time
88	18413	Sep 02, 2025, 02:54 PM	Princes Drive	No change	No change	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat less safe	Not applicable	Strongly against	These judder bars are a joke, they are a complete nuisance for anyone living on the hill and have to travel over them on a daily basis, not to mention the traffic swerving in and out around the half speed bumps. Plus people still speed in between them, so they really are pointless. As I'm writing this a car has just sped past our place- the few that speed are still going to speed! Also the signage/painting of them isn't the best and I've seen a few cars hit them as it appears they didn't see them there- there's one in particular on Princes Drive where there are big gouges in the road below it. Please remove them!! (or at the very least, most of them)
89	18402	Sep 02, 2025, 09:22 AM	Moana Ave	Significantly slower	No change	Somewhat safer	No change	No change	Strongly for	NgÄ mihi mahana for the speed cushions - we appreciate that something has been done. - ultimately we need a speed limit reduction (like Stansell and Grenville) to 40km to reduce the appeal of this route as a rat run; reduce overall noise for residents; improve amenity of walking and cycling which is awful especially as most of the footpath is narrow and requires multiple crossings; and to reduce acceleration between humps - Speed cushions are causing unintended consequence of drivers avoiding by swerving into the "parking" lane. This is extremely dangerous for residents entering road space from their properties - despite being super careful, we have been nearly clipped on several occasions. We have reported this to council on several occasions. - I would like to see continuous "humps" albeit a bit trickier for the bus as this would reduce avoidance behaviour. Or install bollards so cyclists can travel safely - this may require removal of some (underutilised) parking spaces - it would be great if council treated Moana like a neighbourhood, not a road - we live here and our well being, quiet enjoyment of our properties and community cohesion are directly impacted by what happens on/to the road - examples of negative impacts are: * using Moana/Bisley as a diversion when Rocks Rd is closed during weather events - this is a necessity but results in high volumes of traffic (how is that even ok during a weather event given this is a slump?). Ideally the road/s would be 40km permanently and slower still on a temporary basis for weather event diversion traffic * choosing cushions instead of humps has had a direct impact on our safety * not improving the pedestrian environment when expensive repairs were done to Moana in 2022 due to the slip (that particular corner is awful - tight road corner so bad for bikes, narrow path, bamboo overhanging, poor lighting, uneven surface) * persistant closure of Days Track that used to provide enormous amenity value not only to the community, but the many walkers who love to walk up here * overhanging vegetation on the road and footpaths - it gets quite tiresome to constantly have to submit Antenno reports
90	18400	Sep 02, 2025, 08:13 AM	Other: Heemskerck Place	Somewhat higher	No change	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat less safe	Not applicable	Against	The number of near head on crashes I have had since the speed bumps have been installed has been significant with people coming into the centre of the road to avoid the harshest part of the speed bump (mind you I done blame people with the added stress that these are placing on vehicles. My car has has to have increased maintenance due to the number of speed bumps that I have had to travel on every day and multiple times I have nearly been taken out by multiple vehicles coming from Bisley ave who are not giving way at the princes drive round about - they speed up after the last speed bump. When selecting vehicles travelling higher speeds this has been on princes drive and coming up to the roundabout from all directions.
91	18397	Sep 02, 2025, 07:46 AM	Other: Nelson cbd	Somewhat higher	No change	Significantly less safe	Somewhat less safe	No change	Strongly against	Formal Submission â€“ Objection to Traffic Calming Measures To: Nelson City Council (NCC) I am writing in response to the consultation on the traffic control measures trial on Princes Drive, Moana Avenue, and Bisley Avenue. I wish to make it clear that I oppose the continuation of these measures for the following reasons: 1. Failure to Follow Proper Process Under the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004, councils must ensure that traffic calming devices comply with prescribed design, placement, and consultation requirements. It appears that Nelson City Council has not adequately demonstrated compliance with these requirements, nor has it provided evidence that a proper cost-benefit analysis or alternative options assessment was carried out. Furthermore, the Local Government Act 2002 (Sections 76â€“82) requires councils to follow robust decision-making processes and conduct genuine community consultation before implementing projects that materially affect ratepayers. In this case, the measures appear to have been introduced hastily, with limited transparency and inadequate engagement with affected residents. 2. Precedent from Auckland High Court Case As noted in the recent Auckland case, a law student successfully challenged Auckland Transport over the installation of speed bumps that were not compliant with regulations (see: Newstalk ZB coverage). The Court found that such installations must adhere strictly to statutory requirements, and failure to do so constitutes unlawful action by the authority. Nelson City Council risks a similar outcome if this matter is challenged.

92	18396	Sep 02, 2025, 07:43 AM	Princes Drive	No change	No change	Somewhat less safe	No change	No change	Alternative layout	The new speed bump up Moana have meant drivers are now driving in the middle of the road to avoid the speed bumps. This has caused so many near head ons and certainly a lot more impatient drivers. Increased road rage.
93	18393	Sep 01, 2025, 10:03 PM	Other: Grenville Terrace	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced	Somewhat safer	Somewhat safer	Not applicable	For	I understand some residents are having problems with shaking and noise from the speed bumps and that should be dealt with to ammeliorate the problems. However they have reduced the amount and speed of traffic which has been better for the community, so perhaps adjustments can be made to keep everyone happy
94	18389	Sep 01, 2025, 09:25 PM	Other: Grenville Tce	Somewhat higher	Somewhat higher	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Not applicable	Strongly against	One big Cockup
95	18388	Sep 01, 2025, 09:23 PM	Other: Rocks Road	Significantly higher	Significantly higher	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	
96	18387	Sep 01, 2025, 09:21 PM	Moana Ave	Significantly higher	Significantly higher	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	wasting ratepayers money
97	18386	Sep 01, 2025, 09:17 PM	Moana Ave	Significantly higher	Significantly higher	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	A complete and waste of Ratepayers money
98	18382	Sep 01, 2025, 08:54 PM	Other: Commodore Place	Somewhat slower	No change	Significantly less safe	No change	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	Our main concern is that there was no community consultation about the 'traffic calming measures' arriving in Jan 2024, and the speed humps arrived very quickly after we received a brief note in our letterbox from NCC. Before this date we did not ever have a problem with boy racers or speeding cars along this section of Princes Drive and so these speed humps were not necessary. They have inflicted extra wear and tear on our vehicles and they are a barrier to cyclists using the road. The safety issue with the far end of Princes Drive relates to certain badly designed narrow stretches of road which possibly could be widened. We would recommend removing the humps on Princes Drive as soon as possible which would improve our driving /cycling/motor biking experience of these roads. Due to boy racers driving at speed up from Tahunanui beach it might still be necessary to retain the speed humps on Bisley Ave although traffic islands in the road centre might be a more acceptable option for the residents there and for any other drivers using this particular road. Please remove the speed humps !
99	18372	Sep 01, 2025, 07:07 PM	Other: Observatory terrace	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Not applicable	Not applicable	Strongly against	Cannot drive over the princess drive humps as per speed signs saying 50kms and they are very hard to see at night, no reflectors. Feel there are tooo many at close intervals. On moana cars straddle centre line to avoid . Prefer removed.
100	18370	Sep 01, 2025, 06:25 PM	Bisley Ave	Significantly slower	Somewhat reduced	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Somewhat safer	Strongly for	
101	18361	Sep 01, 2025, 05:09 PM	Bisley Ave	No change	No change	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat less safe	Not applicable	Strongly against	The overweight, passenger empty buses vibrate the ground when they ride over the cats eyes at speed . They also cause vibration in the ground as the buses ride over the humps too fast. I have noticed more the number of vehicles that still speed as they pass between the humps and vehicles crossing the Centre lane to avoid a hump on of Bisley Ave. I have witnessed several close calls with potentially head on crashes.
102	18360	Sep 01, 2025, 05:09 PM	Other: Commodore Place	Significantly higher	Somewhat higher	No change	No change	Not applicable	Against	Paper Form Q3: Somewhat Higher, drivers are so angered they speed up between speed humps. Four on Princes Drive between Tasman Heights and the roundabout was and is ridiculous Traffic volume has only decreased since the alternative route was opened down to Waimea Rd, which I always use. General improved safety for cyclists will only occur when cyclists start to obey the traffic code as motorists are expected to. As a former cyclist I am aware of vehicular dangers but as a motorist am angered by a cyclist suddenly in front of me coming off a footpath, where they should never have been in the first instance.
103	18355	Sep 01, 2025, 04:37 PM	Princes Drive	Significantly slower	Somewhat reduced	Somewhat safer	Somewhat safer	Not applicable	Strongly for	Paper form for many years were kept awake or woken up by loud cars racing up Bisley and Moana Avenues, revving their engines and squealing their tires at the corners. This has complete stopped since the calming measures were constructed - please keep them! Ps. the claim that the speed humps are causing cracking in somebodies bedroom are absolutely ludicrous.
104	18347	Sep 01, 2025, 03:56 PM	Other: Moncrieff Avenue	No change	No change	No change	No change	Not applicable	Against	I am opposed to the speed humps. They make driving more stressful and increase wear to vehicles. I would be in favor of a speed camera, especially if it was set up to penalize people driving at truly excessive speeds - e.g. 80km/hr not, say, 55km/hr.
105	18346	Sep 01, 2025, 03:47 PM	Moana Ave	No change	Somewhat higher	Significantly less safe	Somewhat less safe	Not applicable	Strongly against	The speed "pillows" on Moana Avenue have INCREASED vibration and noise pollution from heavy trucks, utes and busses. The E-Busses are TOO LARGE for the roads on this hill and swing wide on corners, making both driving and walking feel more dangerous. We are told the "pillows" are to accommodate the e-busses, so the two issues are inextricably linked. Whenever SH6 Rocks Road is closed, Moana Ave is used as a diversion and the speed "pillows" make this even noisier than it needs to be. We need a LOWER SPEED LIMIT, and SPEED CAMERAS to calm the traffic.
106	18344	Sep 01, 2025, 02:44 PM	Other: Heemskerck Place	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced	No change	No change	No change	Strongly against	The reason that traffic may have reduced is because Clarence Drive has opened up, it hasn't reduced necessarily due to the traffic calming trial. The speed humps are an absolute annoyance and potentially create issues regarding safety with vehicles because of heavy breaking and acceleration before / after the humps. I would also say that there are areas of road where the e-bus when navigating bends is often on the wrong side of the road (its too big to get around bends)
107	18343	Sep 01, 2025, 01:48 PM	Bisley Ave	No change	Somewhat reduced	Not applicable	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	Personally I am not in favour of the bumps . I remember hearing only one boy racer and the noise was minor compared to the noise of trailers going over the bumps. The traffic noise has increased tremendously with the addition of the bumps.
108	18340	Sep 01, 2025, 12:48 PM	Moana Ave	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced	No change	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	My main concern is the vibration of the bus and trucks crossing the speed cushions causing damage to the roading, water/sewage lines, private property. The bus have to cross the centerline to navigate the the cushions and corners.
109	18339	Sep 01, 2025, 12:35 PM	Other: Champion Tce	Somewhat slower	Somewhat higher	Significantly less safe	No change	No change	Against	Don't see a lot of speed change except for the outliers. The consequential safety issue that has concern is that the bumps have trained drivers to move to and cross the centre line to minimise impact while maintaining normal speed. The other side effects of this ill considered trial at significant ratepayer cost is the vehicle damage and negative impact on ambulance travel or the elderly .
110	18338	Sep 01, 2025, 12:12 PM	Moana Ave				Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Strongly for	Paper Form I note that there is no requirement for a name and address on this form. Hopefully this doesn't lead to abuse by anyone photocopying and submitting more than oner reply per household.

111	18337	Sep 01, 2025, 12:08 PM	Princes Drive	Somewhat higher	No change	Significantly less safe	Somewhat less safe	Not applicable	Alternative layout	Paper Form Strongly against / alternative layout: We find the 'humps' along Princes Drive tolerable and less potentially damaging to the vehicle suspension than others down Moana and Bisley which are jarring and very unpleasant to driver over. Comments and tick boxes relate to speed cushions on Moana and Bisley not the speed hump on Princes Drive. Intro: ebus does not straddle the speed cushions where there are parked cars pushing them into the centre of the road. Q2: Somewhat slower: lots of braking when going over the speed 'cushions' Somewhat higher: We have observed people driving faster between the 'cushions' - nothing soft out them. Q4: Significantly less safe as drivers often opt to avoid or manage the speed cushions by travelling in the centre of the road. We have tried this, its can lessen the jarring impact a little but dangerous habit! Q5: Somewhat less safe due to drivers trying to avoid the speed cushions!
112	18336	Sep 01, 2025, 11:59 AM	Bisley Ave	No change	No change	Somewhat less safe	Not applicable	Not applicable	Strongly against	Paper form Traffic slows down at the speed bumps (except for motorbikes) then accelerates up the next speed bumps, then slows down again. Acceleration noise is louder and repetitive. Trucks and trailers are significantly noisier over the bumps. Encountering a bus on a corner especially can be frightening. Vehicles including buses don't always try to straddle the bumps / humps / cushions or go over them smoothly or significantly slower.
113	18335	Sep 01, 2025, 11:24 AM	Bisley Ave	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Not applicable	Not applicable	Strongly against	Paper form Bisley Avenue traffic noise volume has exponentially increased in the past two years due to the inappropriately installed irregular 'speed cushions'. Due to these 'cushions' there's is greater negative impact (not less) on passengers and vehicles. As a 'speed calming' measure, these badly designed and installed 'cushions' are highly ineffective... a ridiculously excessive number on two short winding roads. Nothing stops vehicles, trucks, motorbikes and cyclists, travelling up and down using the centre of the roads!
114	18334	Sep 01, 2025, 11:12 AM	Other: Windsor Drive	Somewhat slower	No change	Significantly less safe	No change	No change	Strongly against	Paper form Of course as you have already put the speed bumps in at significant expense (without first consulting those directly affected, i.e. those that had no choice but to use that road) you will not remove them so what is the point of this survey? Q2: Of course its slower because you put obstacles in the road. I have observed people go fast and slow. I have noticed more petrol being used due to acceleration once over the bumps. There are a lot of bumps, why? Q3: There was never a lot of traffic.
115	18333	Sep 01, 2025, 11:03 AM	Other: Ralphine Way, Maitai Valley	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced	Somewhat safer	No change	Somewhat safer	Alternative layout	Traffic Calming is required to prevent rat running, but these speed cushions are a blunt instrument causing noise issues as cars accelerate and decelerate before and after them and other noise issues. What I think should happen is with street trees narrowing the roadway at close regular intervals al the way along the road making it feel like a slower speed is appropriate.
116	18332	Sep 01, 2025, 11:02 AM	Princes Drive	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	Paper form. People have been taking avoidance measures which are often unsafe. Our car wear and tear has significantly increased.
117	18331	Sep 01, 2025, 08:55 AM	Other: Commodore Place	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	Since the installing of the traffic calming measures it has caused a high percentage of the traffic to try avoiding them - either driving in the middle of the road or extremely close to the curb side. This is extremely dangerous for oncoming traffic, cyclists & pedestrians, hence feel they should be removed. Also, for emergency services i.e. ambulances, having to negotiate these when they may have a patient on board who has a serious injury & any movement like going over "speed humps" could worsen their injury.
118	18330	Sep 01, 2025, 08:49 AM	Princes Drive	Somewhat slower	No change	No change	No change	No change	Strongly against	We have a campervan, because of the overhang beyond the back wheels, even at slow speeds the back of the campervan has an oversized movement when going over the bumps. Having just returned from a trip down to Wanaka, the biggest bumps of the whole trip were coming back up the hill to home.
119	18329	Sep 01, 2025, 08:13 AM	Princes Drive	No change	Significantly higher	No change	No change	No change	Strongly against	I think that the buses on this route make driving frustrating and more dangerous. It is difficult to navigate buses, and people driving down the middle of the road to avoid speed cushions. On princes drive these are very high and people are using them as crossings.
120	18327	Aug 31, 2025, 04:22 PM	Princes Drive	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change	Strongly against	Increased pollution now as braking produces heat and acceleration increases fuel consumption 4-fold
121	18326	Aug 31, 2025, 03:56 PM	Princes Drive	No change	No change	No change	Not applicable	Not applicable	Strongly against	In the part of princes drive where I live I am sure there has been an increase in speed of motorists and m/cyclists. I put this down to frustration with having to slow down for the bumps. I myself do get frustrated but I dont take it out on anything. I put up with the situation you have put us in. Frequently cars and m/bikes boot it as soon as they get over the last PD bump and will be doing way over the speed limit . Part of this is possibly due to Clarence having been recently opened. In the other direction (north) (after they have slowed down for the bumps) boot to the next one etc.
122	18325	Aug 31, 2025, 03:08 PM	Princes Drive	No change	Significantly reduced	No change	No change	No change	Against	Traffic volume reduced? Yes, much traffic to Bishopdale since the new road opened. E-busses back twin-wheels don't fit through cushions. They have to go very slow. Speed bums very hard on construction vehicles and trucks and heavier electric vehicles.
123	18324	Aug 31, 2025, 03:00 PM	Moana Ave	Significantly slower	No change	Somewhat safer	Significantly safer	Somewhat safer	Strongly for	
124	18323	Aug 31, 2025, 01:52 PM	Moana Ave	No change	Somewhat reduced	Somewhat less safe	Significantly less safe	No change	Strongly against	I would like to see the speed limit droppet to 40 kph on both Bisley and Moana Av. and for this to be enforced. Note,I see that the speed limit on Moncrieffe Av has been set at 30 kph so why can the speed limit not be cahnged on Bisley and Moana Av

125	18322	Aug 31, 2025, 01:46 PM	Moana Ave	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat less safe	Not applicable	For	The calming measures have slowed traffic a bit and definitely helped with stopping hoons speeding/racing at night. However I feel less safe but not due to the speed bumps necessarily, more due to driver behaviour. There is a lot of swerving over the midline to try and avoid bumps, and I have come around bends and found cars over the midline coming towards me. Even though they cannot avoid the bump they seem to find it preferable to have 2 wheels on the hump and 2 on the road- im not sure why they think this helps the jolt. It would help if this behaviour stopped. there is a narrower bump in the cycle lane which drivers swerve to straddle so they can miss a hump completely. often get a fright with cars swerving towards me on the footpath in order to straddle the narrow bump at that point. I very much want the Council to reduce the speed limit to 40km/h for all traffic on the hill, as I am sure this would help with safety and also vibrations to properties. The windy road and humps cannot be safely navigated over 40km/h in my view. I feel the safety issues are more to do with driver behaviour and wonder if there is a way of preventing the swerving, and also slowing the traffic by having a limit of 40km/h. There are still many people crossing the cushions at 50km/h and creating a lot of vibration in houses. The Council has a slip hazard overlay Yet the Council has bought oversize heavy buses that cause vibrations in my house every time a bus passes, despite their hazard overlay. Why is that? Maybe it would help to remove the overlay so residents can get insurance. If not, then seriously consider replacing these 2 oversize buses please. I am in favour of electric buses but their size is completely inappropriate for the conditions. It may be that it is seen as too expensive for the Council to downsize the 2 buses on this route, but if a life is lost then it's a false economy. I have seen one of the bus mirrors narrowly miss the head of a pedestrian walking uphill with her back to the bus. It was on a tight curve and the mirror overhangs the footpath. She had moved towards the outside of the path to give me room to pass her as I came down the hill - the mirror came very close to clipping
126	18318	Aug 31, 2025, 10:26 AM	Moana Ave	Somewhat higher	Significantly higher	Significantly less safe	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat less safe	Strongly against	This survey is totally missing the main issue, HOME VIBRATION AND EXCESSIVE NOISE. Residents are affected on different levels, some clearly quite serious, with particularly the heavy traffic, including the 17tonne buses which do not slow down, with the rear wheels impacting very heavily as a result. Please note STANSELL AVENUE is not relevant to this or any other survey, it has never been included so why are you taking their opinion into consideration, its not even in our zone. Their judder bars have and will never be in question from the Moana and Bisley Road Residents.
127	18317	Aug 31, 2025, 10:21 AM	Moana Ave	Significantly slower	Significantly reduced	Somewhat safer	No change	Somewhat less safe	For	
128	18316	Aug 31, 2025, 09:59 AM	Other: Wellington	Significantly slower	Somewhat reduced	Significantly safer	Somewhat safer	Not applicable	Strongly for	Definitely noticed the reduced antisocial noise and speeds on visits to the area, especially at night.
129	18315	Aug 31, 2025, 05:22 AM	Other: Auckland	Significantly slower	Significantly reduced	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Not applicable	Strongly for	I have visited and stayed in Moana Ave over many years and definitely noticed the improvement, quite amazing!
130	18314	Aug 30, 2025, 01:56 PM	Bisley Ave	Somewhat slower	Somewhat higher	No change	No change	Not applicable	Alternative layout	The speed cushions have slowed some traffic - however for some vehicles it has made no change to their speed at all. Of most concern is the vibrations from heavy vehicles crossing the cushions - most specifically the busses. Our house shakes when busses pass over the cushions to the extent that our doors rattle on the hinges, the shower doors rattle, and the drum in the dryer rattles. It feels like a small earthquake is giving the house a shunt multiple times each hour when the busses pass. The busses often do not slow down at all for the cushions, as their scale and weight means they are not affected by the cushions - meaning we often see them cross the cushions at 40-50 kph. The busses can not straddle the cushions if there are parked cars on the up hill sided of Bisley Ave. When the busses are coming down hill, being the side of the road with yellow lines and no parking, they make no attempt to straddle the cushions, and just hit them at speed per above - it appears the road is too narrow for straddling cusions, and, with an empty bus early on a Sunday morning, it appears the drivers couldn't care less anyway. We have a speed cushion near our house. The busses still fly past and straight over the cushions making it very dangerous at that speed past our driveway. We suggest it will be imposible to police the many bus drivers long term and there will just be ongoing complaints. Our house has been shaken by the busses since the cushions have been installed - this has caused signicant anxiety in our household - as already communicated to NCC. It has reached the point where we are going to sell and move away now anyway, with the bombs being thrown at our house as result of NCC speed 'calming' measures, and the 'red zone' hazard overly applied to the whole hill by the NCC PC29 cock up - we have more than given up on a town run by NCC. We suggest a total rethink of the speed calming measures, or loose the rediculously oversize busses on these
131	18313	Aug 30, 2025, 11:15 AM	Moana Ave	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced	Somewhat safer	Somewhat safer	Significantly safer	Strongly for	
132	18311	Aug 30, 2025, 10:33 AM	Princes Drive	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	Please remove ASAP, travel has become much more dangerous since their implementation!

133	18310	Aug 30, 2025, 01:50 AM	Moana Ave	Somewhat slower	Somewhat higher	Significantly less safe	Not applicable	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	The people who live along Bisley and Moana avenues are horribly affected by noise and vibrations from vehicles crashing over these bars. The bars may have slowed traffic, but only to cross the bars and then the cars change gear, rev their engines and accelerate causing a massive amount of additional traffic noise that wasn't there before. Trucks and Utes towing trailers don't slow down much and continue at or below the speed limit of 50k but the crash sound and vibrations cause a shock wave that can be felt in our house and in our neighbours' houses right down Bisley and the noise and vibrations are highly disturbing. Not only that, but Council are now running heavy buses on the streets that have been determined as being on a fragile slump and when Rocks Road is closed (or any other highway) the traffic volumes are massive, as Bisley and Moana are now seem to be viewed as an alternative route - even though they are residential streets. According to Nelmac engineers on site at Moana, these buses and heavy vehicles going over the humps are tearing up water mains and causing a lot of road damage - not just here but on many smaller streets in town. By contrast, nearby Stansell Avenue has a speed limit of 40k and bars that go right across the road because it is not a through road in any shape or form and it is a tight, windy, residential street where Council has been unable to put its monster buses. I am told that they don't have the same noise and disruption to their lives. On Bisley and Moana, the combination of humps, drivers trying to mitigate the effects of those humps and by heavy traffic including buses that are far too big for the roads, is a lethal combination. We believe that Council should immediately rethink the approach, get rid of these horrible noise-causing humps and put in a much better, thought-through solution. Lower the speed limit to 40k like Stansell. Put in kerb extensions. Police the speed and issue tickets (which would gather revenue) . What is there is a cheap, ill-considered solution that's actually not a solution. Change it before there's a serious accident because these roads are now A LOT MORE DANGEROUS than they ever were.
134	18309	Aug 30, 2025, 01:28 AM	Moana Ave	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Somewhat less safe	Not applicable	Strongly against	The traffic calming measures consist of 'pillows' across the road that create absolute mayhem on our quiet residential streets. Small cars swerve into the middle in the face of oncoming traffic, to get their wheels between the humps while larger vehicles simply do not slow down or they put one wheel in the gap, thus maintaining better speed. Trucks do not slow down much and the resulting noise of crashing trucks, trailers and the like is unbearable. We can feel the vibrations and can measure a clock by them. We have demanded a better solution repeatedly. We have voted to introduce better traffic calming measures such as signage "No heavy through traffic", lowering the speed limit to 40kph (a measure that council is absolutely able to do) AND to use speed cameras (which again, council is able to do) with police enforcement. We seek ANY calming that does NOT create noise, vibrations and does NOT disturb our inalienable rights as property owners to 'quiet enjoyment' - a factor the Council seems to be blind to, but which will eventually lead to legal action. In Auckland the council use proper vibration testing and are on record (several times) of removing raised crossings and speed humps when they encroach on people's rights to quiet enjoyment. This council just ignores that, refuses to change, refuses to test and is determined to NOT 'lose face' by admitting that they introduced the cheapest possible, worst form of speed calming without ANY thought about the people who live on these streets, who are actually your customers, ratepayers.
135	18308	Aug 29, 2025, 09:29 PM	Princes Drive	Somewhat slower	No change	No change	Somewhat less safe	Not applicable	Alternative layout	The speedbumps are nuisances that inconvenience careful drivers who slow down but, have little effect on the idiots that speed, except to increase the risk of them losing control. The vast majority of road users are being penalised in a futile attempt to control the behaviours of a few. The speedbumps on Moana/Bisley are especially uncomfortable, even at very slow speeds, and while those on Princes Drive are preferable, those outside the schools on Salisbury Road would be a more vehicle friendly design. If some form of speed deterrent is necessary, chicanes or permanent speed cameras would be less intrusive and more effective.
136	18307	Aug 29, 2025, 05:56 PM	Bisley Ave	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Not applicable	Strongly against	I find it more dangerous, because most cars navigate away from the bumps by lining up along the kerb. Also, I have noticed majority of cars going over the bumps don't actually slow down at all.
137	18306	Aug 29, 2025, 04:38 PM	Other: Istana Place	No change	No change	Somewhat less safe	No change	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	Paper response There are now 12 speed humps between our house and Tāhunanui Drive (3kms) that is ridiculous! Q3: Traffic has recently reduced due to opening of Clarence Drive Q4: Less safe as a cyclist as traffic on Moana / Bisley swerves to avoid the speed humps. Cars often brake sharply as they identify the bumps late and then accelerate to make up time. Traffic is now more slow and speed up
138	18305	Aug 29, 2025, 02:46 PM	Princes Drive	Somewhat slower	No change	No change	No change	Somewhat safer	Alternative layout	According to the NTLDM Section 4.5.2.3 Speed humps and other vertical speed control devices cannot be used on Sub Collector, Collectors, Principal or Arterial roads nor state Highways. As Princes Drive is a Sub Collector, the speed humps on it breach this provision, so an alternative layout is mandatory.
139	18303	Aug 29, 2025, 01:58 PM	Princes Drive	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced		Significantly less safe	Not applicable	Strongly against	There are 13 speed "calming" bumps from Princes Drive down to the bottom of Moana Ave. The speed limit in this area is 50Kph, going down Moana and bisley it is not likely that a car would reach 50kph. If you want to slow traffic, then reduce the speed. I understand that there are some who used the area as a racetrack. however it is not fair to then punish (and it is a punishment) to punish everyone with this ridiculous situation. Apart from this, where there are the cushions, vehicles are trying to straddle these and therefore drive down the centre of the road. This is a dangerous practice for oncoming vehicles, this road is already fairly narrow and these bumps do not help. I understand that you have used cushions so that busses and passengers are not impacted with the bumps, but what makes them special? I believe that these bumps are impacting my vehicle and I need to be travelling 18-20kph to cross these bumps in a 50k limit area!! I suggest that you change the speed limit to 40k and try to catch the drivers doing excessive speed with cameras. Which will also pay for the cost of the cameras. I think that these bumps are dangerous to cyclists, pedestrians and car users and should be removed.

140	18302	Aug 29, 2025, 01:19 PM	Other: Heemskerck Place	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	I have observed since there installation many many dysfunctional driving practices, such as passing on the right hand side (inside) by bikes and motor bikes, crossing of the center lines, and speeding between the humps and bumps to make up time. Between this and the buses which aren't fit to be on these roads, I would say I have observed at least 1 dangerous incident or near miss a day, which the root cause is the speed bumps and cushions . Furthermore, the alternative roads are not fit for purpose,...being narrow and dangerous, and not built for large volumes. My vehicle maintenance bill has gone up with the increased load on tyres and suspension, caused by these. Finally, the noise caused by trailers and the likes bouncing over the Humps and Bumps, and accelerating vehicles seems to also be a problem. The roads are much safer without them and should be immediately removed in my view.
141	18283	Aug 29, 2025, 10:42 AM	Other: Commodore Place	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Somewhat less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	They are nothing but a hazard and a waste of rate payers money.
142	18237	Aug 29, 2025, 08:14 AM	Other: Heemskerck Place	No change	No change	No change	Not applicable	Not applicable	Strongly against	When I drive down to the beach I have to negotiate no less than thirteen "traffic calming measures". Thirteen! Now that Clarence Drive is open I use it every day. It is longer, the traffic on Waimea Rd is heavier but I use Clarence Drive because I don't have to bump up and down along Prince's Road. The only one I would keep is the first one after the roundabout on Princes Drive; that prevents would be hoons from careering up the road. The nine from the Princes Drive / Moana roundabout down to the lights at Tahunanui are unnecessary.
143	18227	Aug 29, 2025, 07:47 AM	Other: heemskerck	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	The introduction of this so called traffic calming has served no real purpose with the same amount of traffic and the same 'mean' speeds. the reality is that it has become a road that is less user friendly. on a day that i go out and come home 4 times. i now travel over 104 speed bumps ! this is ridiculous ! what other homes in nelson have this sort of thing imposed upon them on their way home ! i do not feel safer with traffic crossing the centreline in fruitless attempts to avoid bumps or travelling over them at the same old speed and bouncing on the road !? THIS IS NOT SAFER !! NCC needs to look within and see what they are really trying to achieve ? even the questions here lead toward trying to stop me from leaving my home in a car ! 'Have you observed reduced traffic volumes since the traffic calming measures were installed?' the bus route doesnt come within 2 km of my home - is this an attempt to make us prisoners in our homes ? i dont think there has been any consideration of the wellbeing of those that live in the area only an internal agenda being driven without consideration for the wider community. It is possible my assertion above is incorrect, I would like to see NCC return the road to the way it was.
144	18223	Aug 29, 2025, 07:37 AM	Princes Drive	No change	No change	No change	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	Absolutely crazy the number of humps totally unnecessary to have so many coming up from Bisley They should be removed
145	18219	Aug 29, 2025, 07:17 AM	Other: Commodore Place	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	Since this insane decision to install spped bumps there is a continual slowing down and speeding up that is continually creating more noise due to people being frustrated at the speed bumps. Couple to that people veering all over the road to try to mittigate or find the least bumpy path in their particular vechile, over the speed bumps the Council has created an extremely unsafe environment for walkers, cyclists and even other car owners. There has been no speed reduction despite what the above speil says. People are expressing their frustration by speeding up between speed humps. The decision to install these speed humps really does make me wonder about the people making decisions using our rate money. It seems strange that in the UK they have done away with them as they perform no benefit and create unsafe conditions for the likes of ambulences etc. Still, somone in council decides to reinvent the wheel due to 4 people requesting them. Consult the complete resident commumity not a couple of narrow minded Get rid of these speed humps.
146	18179	Aug 28, 2025, 09:29 PM	Moana Ave	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	No change	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	I didn't have the ability to comment under each question so here is my summary below: I feel significantly less safe travelling in a vehicle now on Moana and Bisley Ave because the e-buses cut across each corner, has the inability to straddle the speed bumps and cars now cross the centre line to try to avoid speed humps. I feel significantly less safe cycling on Moana and Bisley Ave now as cars are trying to avoid the speed humps or I have the massive e-bus to deal with. No I do not want to see the speed humps remain, these should be removed as have not achieved the end outcome you were looking to achieve and has made the road unsafe. At the same time, you should instate a 30km/hr speed limit to reduce traffic speed and make it safe as it is definitely not appropriate to have 50km/hr speed limit which is unsafe! Thank you for giving the community the opportunity to provide feedback on this.
147	18146	Aug 28, 2025, 07:19 PM	Bisley Ave	Significantly slower	Significantly reduced	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Strongly for	- Traffic volumes have been significantly reduced since the traffic calming measures were installed, except for when Rocks Road was closed due to rock falls. - . Car's like racing around the bends and historically we have had at least three cars crash into the retaining wall . - The speeds, volumes and noise of traffic using Bisley Ave as a rat run, before the calming measures were installed, significantly affected us. - We are aware of a petition to get rid of the calming measures, from reading that on the front page of the Nelson Mail newspaper, however, we were never consulted regarding that petition so they clearly didn't consult the whole area. - Many inhabitants of Moana Ave live in houses that are further away from the road. Such as who organised the aforementioned petition to remove the calming measures. Therefore they were not affected, as we have been, by the traffic speeds and volumes prior to the calming measures being installed. - We also understand the petition had signatories from Stansell Ave, however, that was not the main rat run before the calming measures were installed - the main rat run was Bisley/Moana Avenues. Therefore, those signatories would not be affected if the calming measures were removed.

148	18125	Aug 28, 2025, 05:51 PM	Bisley Ave	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Somewhat less safe	No change	Strongly against	A shocking waste of money. I would like to see how many residents made the initial complaints. In spite of the claim by council in the covering letter, speed has not reduced overall. Of course speeds slow as cars approach the humps and leave the humps but then they accelerate away to normal speeds before braking again, making more noise, burning more fuel. Lower the speed to 40kph, have signs informing drivers placed at strategic points so they are hardly ever out of a drivers vision, install permanent or occasional speed cameras, ask the police to travel that road more often as they move between town and Tahunanui. Regarding feeling safer - As a pedestrian I have nearly been hit by cars cutting close to the pavement on the last two humps heading North. By lining up carefully any car can get over these by driving very close to the curb - results in no reduction of speed but might cause a death or injury if pedestrians or drivers miscalculate.
149	18123	Aug 28, 2025, 05:50 PM	Moana Ave	Somewhat slower	Somewhat higher	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Alternative layout	People in cars are swerving all over the road to avoid the speed cushions which is causing dangerous driving behaviours. We would be in favour of a speed bump, to slow traffic, especially boy racers.
150	18117	Aug 28, 2025, 05:30 PM	Other: Commodore Place.	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	No change	No change	Against	I have never seen any advantage since calming was installed.
151	18111	Aug 28, 2025, 05:12 PM	Other: Zeehaen place	No change	No change	No change	No change	Not applicable	Against	The speed cushions certainly impact on our vehicle fuel economy. The number of cushions installed tends to make drivers (all those that I have discussed them with) grumpy rather than calm.
152	18110	Aug 28, 2025, 05:10 PM	Other: Tasman Heights	Somewhat higher	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Somewhat less safe	Strongly against	There has been no change. If anything there is more swerving when people go to the side of the speed bumps. Total waste of time and money. Also effects your suspension.
153	18102	Aug 28, 2025, 04:40 PM	Princes Drive	Significantly slower	No change	Significantly less safe	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	Small cars swerving to avoid the highest point regular end up in the oncoming traffic lane . These must have been the worst designed and over done measures , not sure they should be referred to as calming
154	18092	Aug 28, 2025, 04:12 PM	Princes Drive	Somewhat slower	Somewhat higher	No change	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	I think these speed bumps are a significant hazard for the following reasons: Totally restrictive for ambulances especially if they are trying to save a life whilst having to slow down every few minutes. Extremely difficult to administer CPR, stabilise fractured spines, and administer drugs whilst riding over extremely harsh bumps. Same goes for other emergency vehicles like fire and police. Many vehicles swerve onto the other side of the road to try and minimise the bump. Personally have just received a quote for all wheel brake disc and pads replacement for $2800 in a car that has only done 36,000km. Mechanic thinks speed bumps highly likely to have attributed to what's probably a half life of normal wear and tear on brakes. The culprits who speed on our roads still do so as soon as they are past the speed bumps especially at the top end of princes drive. Many motorists travel at frustratingly low speeds of 20kph up the entire road due to the speed bumps. The residents of this area have been penalised by the few who will speed no matter what. Why not install permanent speed cameras and have more spot cameras for those offending.
155	18082	Aug 28, 2025, 03:45 PM	Other: Zeehaen Place	Significantly slower	Somewhat reduced	Significantly less safe	No change	No change	Strongly against	The speed cushions are excessively high and I've seen cars swerving over into the gap between bumps onto oncoming traffic to avoid them at times. Local drivers seem to struggle to navigate them and I personally think they're more of a hazard than a safety mechanism. Please remove them.
156	18056	Aug 28, 2025, 02:37 PM	Princes Drive	No change	No change	Somewhat less safe	No change	Somewhat less safe	Against	The reason for feeling unsafe is the number of vehicles (especially on Moana/Bisley) that swerve across lanes to try and smooth out the "speed cushions" aiming for possible gaps. This can be very disconcerting when on a bike. There is also a high number of vehicles that slow and then accelerate madly again following these bumps so they quickly gain their speed again.
157	18048	Aug 28, 2025, 02:13 PM	Moana Ave	Significantly slower	Significantly reduced	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Strongly for	Reduced accident rate is a big advantage. This area is used for walking by locals and people from town accessing the area via Days track from Toi Toi street. Moana and bisley avenues have footpaths on only one side necessitating walkers to cross the road at three points. Slowing/calming traffic is important for all walkers especially the elderly and people with children.
158	18041	Aug 28, 2025, 01:49 PM	Other: Champion Terrace	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced	Significantly safer	Somewhat safer	Somewhat safer	Strongly for	I was side-swiped by a speeding car when travelling uphill and turning right into Champion Terrace. I had slowed down and indicated but the guys (30-ish males in beat up car) saw that the sweep of the curve ahead of me was free of traffic and gunned it. Fortunately they were driving uphill and as they came around the lower curve they had slowed for the speed bumps, otherwise it could have been a lot worse for me. My car had damage all down one side. They took off. I frequently notice 4WDs speeding over the bumps. Some sort of traffic calming is needed, I don't mind what kind. The footpath above the Moana/ Stansell Ave roundabout needs repair & widening as that is a scary spot for pedestrians. The narrow road there is very scary for cyclists.
159	18040	Aug 28, 2025, 01:49 PM	Other: Champion Terrace	Significantly slower	Somewhat reduced	Somewhat safer	Somewhat safer	No change	Neutral	
160	18030	Aug 28, 2025, 12:18 PM	Other: Zeehaen place	Significantly slower	No change	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat less safe	Significantly less safe		
161	18028	Aug 28, 2025, 11:49 AM	Princes Drive	Somewhat slower	No change	Somewhat safer	Somewhat safer	Somewhat safer	Strongly for	Some sections of street have become less safe with vehicles accelerating towards/from roundabout from/to traffic calming measure. For example, upper Princes Drive towards round about with Moana Avenue requires a further traffic calming measure near the entry to Princes Drive diversion to houses 177 to 187. Also, along upper Moana Ave traffic calming measures direct some vehicles closer to the very narrow footpath which makes it very uncomfortable (and dangerous) to walk the last stretch from Moncrieff Avenue to the roundabout.
162	18022	Aug 28, 2025, 11:08 AM	Princes Drive	Somewhat slower	No change	No change	No change	Not applicable	Alternative layout	Traffic population eased by opening of southern end of Princes Drive through to Waimea Road. Number of speed bumps n cushions on Bisley Moana Princes excessive given traffic flow and distance Cut by half. Don't recall consultation such as this with maildrop and on line before trial commenced.
163	18021	Aug 28, 2025, 10:53 AM	Princes Drive	No change	No change	No change	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	It is ridiculous and overboard that sees us driving over 13 speed bumps, . The wear and tear on our vehicles having to constantly slow down numerous times per trip.. And they don't stop the few idiots who speed up the hill from continuing their antics, as they still speed inbetween the speed humps. It definitely feels like an over reaction to a handful of idiots, yet everyone ends up suffering the consequences of these wretched humps. I've also personally seen 2 cases of trailers coming off the hitches going over the humps on Princes Drive. Please remove them. A better deterrent would be a hidden speed camera, and then you could at least get some revenue.
164	18016	Aug 28, 2025, 10:24 AM	Moana Ave	Significantly slower	Significantly reduced	Significantly safer		Significantly safer	Strongly for	. At no time have I felt vibrations or noticed any cracks to the house. Although there is less poor driving behavior, there will always be a minority who resent the restrictions and drive noisily over the cushions. As a pedestrian we have to cross at two points, this also feels less risky.

165	18013	Aug 28, 2025, 10:14 AM	Other: Commodore Place	Somewhat slower	No change	Somewhat less safe	No change	No change	Against	Many cars try and dodge the speed humps often resulting in cars crossing the centre line or hugging the verge , this is further complicated by cars parked on the side of the road especially in Bisley, the buses are too big and have difficulty negotiating the traffic and parked cars around the speed humps, large SUVs and Utes hardly need to slow down making it ineffective. Motorcycles can easily either dodge them or blast over top There's also extra wear and tear on our vehicles brakes and suspension components In my observation you would be better of using speed cameras to monitor and enforce speed limits than these "calming measures" which are actually not calming.
166	18010	Aug 28, 2025, 09:55 AM	Other: Heemskerck Place	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	I have observed significant driver, cyclist dangerous behaviour since the road abstructions have installed. It appears more wear and tear on vehicles and roads has happened. Worse for the environment and residents. Also with vehicles bouncing over these there would be more impact on the slump zones. The buses do not pass over them as in your letter states the cushions allow. they bounce over leaning into road users. The buses are not fit for purpose on these roads and crossed the white lines many times during a normal route. , used these roads almost daily and have never experienced such terrible usage of a road until these were installed along with the oversized buses. I would like to see the "cushions and speed bumps" removed as soon possible.
167	18008	Aug 28, 2025, 09:31 AM	Other: Commodore place	Somewhat slower	No change	Significantly safer	No change	No change	Strongly for	How do you eliminate those that complain regardless of what you do? Those objecting are probably retired teachers or lawyers. More calming needed on Princes south of Tamaki steps. Slow the buggers down
168	18005	Aug 28, 2025, 09:18 AM	Other: Heemskerck Place	No change	No change	Significantly less safe	Somewhat less safe	Not applicable	Strongly against	The 'traffic calming measures' cause drivers to cross the centre line far more, and the width of the buses contribute to making this seem less safe to me. When you are coming to a corner and encounter a bus that is well over the centre line of the road this is not ideal. Driver behaviour does change and you see cars crossing into the other lane to avoid the cushions. The opening of the Clarence Drive has naturally caused a reduction in traffic along Princes Drive - but this was not rocket science to realise that once this road opened, this would be the outcome.
169	18003	Aug 28, 2025, 08:43 AM	Princes Drive	No change	No change	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat less safe	Strongly against	As a cyclist, dog walker and driver I see many dangerous driving behaviours BECAUSE of the the introduction of the speed humps. This includes driving down the middle of the road - speeding up and slowing down quickly creating a new hazard. At two of the speed humps you can drive on the inside of the hump close to the kerb to avoid the bump. Lots of people do this and it has created a new hazard for cyclists and walkers. Car suspensions and brakes are taking a hammering from driving over these each day. They are unnecessary - money better spent elsewhere or on driver education. If driving I avoid the Bisley Ave route and come up Richardson terrace to get home (as do most of my neighbours).
170	17993	Aug 27, 2025, 10:43 PM	Other: Grenville Tce	Significantly slower		Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Strongly for	The reduction in noise, speed and volume of traffic makes it a more pleasant experience walking and cycling on these roads. Because of that I accept the extra challenge driving over the speed bumps
171	17983	Aug 27, 2025, 08:36 PM	Other: Grenville Terrace	Significantly slower	No change	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat less safe	No change	Strongly against	Wrecking my car suspension . Quotes for new shock absorbers are around $5000.
172	17981	Aug 27, 2025, 08:17 PM	Other: Grenville Terrace	Somewhat slower	No change	Somewhat less safe	Somewhat less safe	Significantly less safe	Against	Vehicles are constantly veering onto the wrong side of the road to aim wheels between the bumps in many places. Additionally, vehicles are veering into the parking bays to avoid the bumps. When vehicles veer into parking bays I've seen cars try to pass them as it looks like they're parking, only for the veering vehicle to swing back into traffic.
173	17979	Aug 27, 2025, 08:09 PM	Other: Grenville Tce	Somewhat slower	No change	Somewhat safer	No change	Somewhat safer	Strongly for	Most cars slow for the bumps but quite a lot stick to 50 and seem to get over them OK. I think they need to be more severe. definitely less squealing tyres on the bends Also, it is not uncommon for cars to swerve one wheel into the centre - I have met cars as I come around blind bends. Alternative? 30 or 40 kph speed limit with a permanent speed camera AND warning signs.
174	17978	Aug 27, 2025, 08:05 PM	Bisley Ave	Significantly higher	Significantly higher	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	As residents we simply want a quiet, peaceful living environment as we are entitled to, but the 'Judder Bars' and the effects thereof have ensured that the exact opposite is the case. Home 'vibration' and a high level of continual of noise as heavy traffic, in particular, literally 'crashes' over them affects us all greatly. Virtually no traffic slows down with cars and cyclists taking middle of the road swerving to avoid the bumps.
175	17977	Aug 27, 2025, 07:48 PM	Bisley Ave	Significantly higher	Significantly higher	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	The traffic Calming measures have not been successful at all , I have had a car written off with a car speeding which didn't slow down at all , the constant noise and vibrations from the reckless driving from Buses and trucks thumping over the so called traffic calming stops is unbearable, the amount of near misses is unbelievable, I am surprised someone hasn't been seriously hurt , on a daily basis I see motor bikes, heavy Trucks & buses going miles over the speed limit or cars coming onto the opposite side of the road to miss the stops it's made it worst , it's mind blowing that they were put in the first place without proper consultation from the residents in the first place
176	17972	Aug 27, 2025, 07:01 PM	Moana Ave	Significantly slower	Significantly reduced	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Strongly for	Well done NCC for the trial. We have noticed a vast improvement due to the Traffic Calming bumps
177	17969	Aug 27, 2025, 06:40 PM	Bisley Ave	No change	Somewhat higher	Somewhat less safe	No change	No change	Alternative layout	This has not been a traffic controlling solution, in fact from my observation since the speed bumps were installed, drivers have become even more dangerous around these streets. Cars are speeding over the bumps, or moving onto the other side of the road to avoid the speed bumps, and I have witnessed several near misses. Bisley Ave continues to have car accidents, . The buses also move at extreme speeds and don't appear to slow for the speed bumps. Our house shakes every time the buses go over the speed bumps nearby, and we have since had multiple pictures fall off our walls and smash due to the buses extreme speed over these bumps. Due to Rocks road often closing, the traffic is often increased and dangerous behaviour then increases, especially in regards to these speed bumps, and people avoiding going over them or having to slow down. A more appropriate measure would be to decrease the speed limit on these hills. If vehicles were legally not allowed to go over 30, especially on the windy corners, then there could be more accountability for the way and speed people are driving at. These speed bumps do not create any accountability for the way people are behaving on these streets.

178	17962	Aug 27, 2025, 05:44 PM	Bisley Ave	Somewhat slower	Somewhat reduced	Somewhat safer	Somewhat less safe	Not applicable	Strongly for	The 50km/h speed limit is far too high for pedestrians to cross safely - especially at the Lower Bisley chicanes. A few fast drivers prefer to try and dodge the calming measure bumps by swerving close to the kerb or across the white line. Both directions increase risk - especially to pedestrians who are not paying attention, or have their back to the hazard. The Lower Bisley chicanes cannot be driven without sliding at above 30 km/h when the road is wet, and most accidents there have happened when the road is freshly wet. This area at least STILL needs an ENFORCED speed limit of 30km/h - not mere decorative warning signs.
179	17943	Aug 27, 2025, 02:33 PM	Bisley Ave	Somewhat higher	No change	Significantly less safe	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	Vehicles speed more often now because there are about 8 sets of speed bumps on one side of the hill. People speed up or skid out of frustration. Busses literally drive on the wrong side of the road on blind corners and the wear n tear of the speed bumps installed obviously shows ncc dont give a stuff about peoples cars. Terrible decision, not surprised.
180	17940	Aug 27, 2025, 12:36 PM	Moana Ave	No change	No change	No change	No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	unless the traffic humps are full speed bumps,which you wont do because the ebus has to stradle them for some reason,then they make no difference,the speeders still speed as they can drive real close to the side of the humps and either almost straddle them themselves or have minor bump as they speed over them. Either get rid of them completely or put full speed bumps across the entire street so even the ebus has to slow or stop before driving over them,then it will slow the speeders,however whats currently there is not stopping them at all.
181	17935	Aug 27, 2025, 11:42 AM	Bisley Ave	Significantly slower	No change	Somewhat safer	Somewhat safer	Not applicable	Neutral	Although there has been a considerable reduction in some speeds during the day, making it easier and safer to exit the drive, and less noise from "boy racers" at night, there has been a significant increase in noise overall, much of it resulting from trailers and heavy vehicles bouncing on the speed bumps. This causes the houses to shake and creak to the extent that some report the movement of ornaments. In an area such as the Slump, this causes considerable concern.
182	17910	Aug 26, 2025, 08:59 PM	Other: Champion Terrace	Significantly slower	No change	No change	Significantly safer	Significantly safer	Strongly for	The speed bumps have really reduced the noise and speed of cars in that area. Potentially we don't need quite as many speed bumps on the longer straight areas of road, but very happy with the introduction of these overall.
183							No change	Significantly less safe	Strongly against	Paper Form The present traffic control measures have made Moana and Bisley less safe. Negative impacts have been 1. Most traffic moves to the centre of the road 2. Cars might slow down, then speed up creating higher emissions. 3. Feel less safe in my car, or on my bike. 4. The oversized and overweight buses, also create a death trap. To resolve simply remove the humps and have one camera on Moana and Bisley Avenue and replace the buses which are not fit for purpose.
184							No change	Not applicable	Strongly against	Paper Form Cars travelling up and down Bisley Avenue and Moana Avenue use the centre of th eroad to avoid the speed humps. This can be dangerous for cars travelling in th eopposite direction. They do not slow down but other cars have to stop to let them go through.

185